



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/518,640

12/20/2004

Masayuki Furuya

1034232-000029

2846

21839 7590 04/11/2008
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC
POST OFFICE BOX 1404
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1623

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/11/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/518,640	Applicant(s) FURUYA ET AL.	
	Examiner Ganapathy Krishnan	Art Unit 1623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 January 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,21-23 and 28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,21-23 and 28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/16/2007</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 1/7/2008 has been received, entered and carefully considered. The following information provided in the amendment affects the instant application:

1. Claims 2-20 and 24-27 have been canceled.
2. New Claim 28 has been added.
3. Claim 1 has been amended.
4. Remarks drawn to rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, 102 and 103.

Claims 1, 21-23 and 28 are pending in the case.

The rejection of Claims 1-2 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toshiyuki et al (JP 62-263194, English translation; document listed in IDS of Aug. 23, 2005) has been overcome by amendment to claim 1 and cancellation of claims 2 and 4. Thus, the reaction is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The rejection of Claims 1-4 and 10-27 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, advanced earlier has been overcome by amendment of claim 1 and the cancellation of claims 2-20. The rejection below is made of record necessitated by amendment.

Claims 1, 21-23 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites, “30^OC to 30^OC”. There seems to be a numeral missing for the upper range for the temperature. It is not clear what upper temperature limit is intended.

Claims that depend from a base claim that is unclear/indefinite are also rendered unclear/indefinite and are rejected for the same reasons.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The rejection of Claims 1, 21-23 and 28 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toshiyuki et al (JP 62-263194, English translation; document listed in IDS of Aug. 23, 2005) in view of Yujiro et al (JP 2000-319116, English translation; listed in IDS of Aug. 23, 2005) is being maintained for reasons of record.

Applicants have traversed the rejection arguing that:

1. In the production of the diglycoside in particular, there are problems related to the equilibrium between monoglycoside and diglycoside in the presence of acetic acid. The phenolic hydroxyl groups are acetylated in the presence of monoglycoside and acetic acid.

2. The problem can be suppressed by removal of acetic acid generated in the reaction as the reaction progresses. The prior art does not suggest that the undesirable acylation reaction might occur in the equilibrium system between monoglycoside and diglycoside and this can be suppressed by removal of acetic acid.

Applicants' arguments have been considered but are not found to be persuasive.

Toshiyuki et al teach a process of making a glycoside wherein pentaacetyl glucose (a sugar molecule having an acetyl group attached to the anomeric carbon) is reacted with hydroquinone (a dihydroxy phenol) in the presence of p-toluenesulfonic acid as catalyst in xylene, to give the corresponding glycoside (page 1, see below the sub heading-Prior art; page 3, paragraphs 8-10; comparative example 2, at page 5). The removal of acetic acid under vacuum

Art Unit: 1623

(lower temperature) is suggested (page 3, paragraph 9). Irrespective of whether the prior art does or does not suggest that the undesirable acylation reaction might occur in the equilibrium system between monoglycoside and diglycoside and this can be suppressed by removal of acetic acid, one of ordinary skill in the art knows that the esterifications as instantly claimed including the undesirable acylation do occur in the presence of acid catalyst and are in equilibrium. Hence, one of skill in the art would anticipate such a problem based on the knowledge available to him/her and would want to remove the acid in order to overcome this problem. Moreover, Toshiyuki teaches the removal of acetic acid under vacuum and one of skill in the art will recognize that this is done to prevent the problem as pointed out by the applicants. This need not be taught explicitly since it is general knowledge. The removal of acetic acid generated in the reaction as it progresses is obvious based on the suggestion in the prior art and the general knowledge of the skilled artisan.

The combination of references does render the instant claims obvious.

Conclusion

Claims 1, 21-23 and 28 are rejected

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1623

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ganapathy Krishnan whose telephone number is 571-272-0654. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GK

/Shaojia Anna Jiang, Ph.D./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623